

DCP 388 Working Group - Meeting 17

02 October 2023 at 10:00

Location/ Web-Conference/Teleconference

Attendee	Company
Lee Stone (LS)	E.ON
Edda Dirks (ED)	SSEGen
Chris Barker (CB)	ENWL
Joe Boyle (JB)	SSEN
Giao Le (GL)	SSEN
Kara Burke (KB)	NPg
Chris Ong (CO)	UKPN
Mark Jones (MJ)	SSE
Andrew Malley (AM)	Ofgem
Dave Wornell (DW)	NGED
Kyran Hanks (KH)	Waterswye
Laura Quinn (LQ)	SSEN
David Fewings (DF)	INENCO
Code administrator	
Andy Green [AG] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
John Lawton (JL) Chair	ElectraLink
Apologies	

1. Administration

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance” and “Terms of Reference”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting and agreed to the Terms of Reference
- 1.2 The actions from this Working Group have been captured in an action log. The action log can be found at **Attachment 1 DCP 388 Issues Log.**
- 1.3 Actions 16/01 was closed as the secretariat had created the issues log and shared it with the Working Group prior to the meeting. This can be found within **Attachment 1 DCP 388 Issues Log.**
- 1.4 Action 16/02 and 16/03 were closed as LS and the sub group had met and provided a document to the Working Group for review on both the need for the BSC change and how the derivation of Final Demand Capacity at MIC-banded sites could work as well as amendments to the calculation template.
- 1.5 This document can be found within **Attachment 2 DCP388 Altrntv sltn Overview.**
- 1.6 It was agreed to review Attachment 2 first and then move onto the issues log.

2. Purpose of the Meeting / Timeline for Delivery

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of the Working Group was to review the alternative process that was in the documentation provide by ED, review the need for the BSC change and how that could work and also to review the action log and move the consultation responses forwards.

3. Review Feedback from the Sub Group

- 3.1 The Working Group began to review the feedback from the sub group.
- 3.2 ED provided an overview of the amendments to the templates.
- 3.3 ED highlighted that this alternative process has been driven by a number of the consultation responses stating concerns on the proposed approach around changing the basis of a Mixed Demand Sites banding from the MIC to the MD instead.
- 3.4 It was explained the alternative solution was looking to resolve this by restoring the MIC for residual banding and this has been done by adding in a new step into the calculation template.
- 3.5 ED also highlighted that KB had made further changes to the calculation template, namely the conversion to kWh to kVA needing to comply with Schedule 16 so these have now been catered for.
- 3.6 It was explained there are examples that show sites where the MD stays within the MIC and sites where a site exceeds its MIC. It was noted that the alternative proposal is for sites who do not exceed their MIC, those that do would follow the process as set out within the national terms of connection and the DNO would notify the customer of the breach so the alternative would only be for sites who stayed within their MIC.

- 3.7 ED then walked the Working Group through the examples provided within attachment two. It was explained example 1 was for a site that remained within their MIC and example 2 was for a site that exceeded their MIC. ED noted that this example is just to illustrate the impact to sites that have exceeded their MIC and that the process for these scenarios is set out within the national terms of connection.
- 3.8 It was asked why the calculation template only shows the kW in the summary. It was agreed that this was an error, and it should be the kVA. It was agreed that this will be updated.
- 3.9 It was confirmed that the process assumes the meters are separate and that the operational meter sits behind the boundary meter.
- 3.10 It was also confirmed that MD is derived from the boundary meter.
- 3.11 It was queried how the issue of the different MD reads being captured at different times is dealt with in the calculation template. It was agreed that this needed some more thought but it was believed that the issue could be resolved within the calculation template.
- 3.12 The action taken away was to review the peak Final Demand, peak Non-Final Demand and peak boundary not being simultaneous.
- 3.13 KB then took the Working Group through the updated calculation template. This can be found within **Attachment 3 HH MIC Template for Mixed Non-Final Demand Sites.**
- 3.14 It was noted the first sheet is for general site information so it would always be possible to tie back to who was raising the request and when. The Output sheet is where the calculation is done.
- 3.15 It was explained that there are three input sheets and that whilst they are the same but each sheet is to allow for different data items to be inputted. It was explained that these templates use the formula from Schedule 16.
- 3.16 It was noted that the input sheets are why changes are required to cater for the different HH periods for each meter not being simultaneous.
- 3.17 It was asked if the Active Export data item was required. It was explained that one reason it is needed for is to check a site hasn't exceeded their MEC, as if it has, they would not be eligible for the process and the other was to establish if the site was export dominate.
- 3.18 It was noted that there could be other areas this data is available from (potentially the connection agreement) and that sharing Active Export data with the import supplier may not be appropriate as the import and export suppliers aren't always the same, so it raises a possible GDPR risk.
- 3.19 It was agreed that the Active Export tab should be removed from the template.

4. Potential BSC Change

- 4.1 LS started by explaining that he had focused primarily on what BSC P395 was delivering in November 2023. LS summarised this change as essentially allowing asset metering to be used within the supplier domain, and that asset metering will be used to carve out non formal demand for EMRS charging purposes.
- 4.2 LS explained that it would be useful to offer some assurances similar to what was provisioned for under CMP 363/364 as those changes did have a provision for supply volume allocation agents.

- 4.3 It was noted that the approach taken for CMP 363/364 is similar to the approach the Working Group want to undertake for DCP 388 and this would address concerns raised within the consultation.
- 4.4 LS highlighted that this approach would require BSC adaptations and stated certainly within section S and the definitions along with procedures under BSC 6.02 that's predominantly to ensure that DNOs got access to the metered data as a minimum. Which is not a provision in the BSC currently.
- 4.5 Further detail on this can be found on the last three bullet points within **Attachment 2 DCP388 alternative solution overview.**
- 4.6 It was highlighted that there would be limitations in this approach, primarily for NHH or consumption derived calculations as P395 is for HH sites only.
- 4.7 There were also concerns raised for traditional NHH measurement class F and G customers.
- 4.8 AM highlighted that limitations in processes do happen and asked if the number of customers who fall under the limitation and the impacts could be quantified.
- 4.9 LS noted that quantifying the impacts and issues could be covered within the BSC change.
- 4.10 The Working Group agreed that they were supportive that the BSC change was required to progress the intended approach for DCP 388.
- 4.11 LS advised that the earliest the BSC change could be presented would be at November's panel and that it may mean DCP 388 gets paused in part until the solution for the BSC change is known.
- 4.12 LS agreed to take the action to raise the BSC change.
- 4.13 It was agreed to review the issues log to see what can be progressed whilst the BSC change was in flight.

5. Review Issues Log

- 5.1 The Working Group review the issues log which can be found within Attachment 4 DCP 388 issues log.
- 5.2 Issue 01-It was agreed that this is likely to be discussed within the BSC change. On hold until BSC change is further understood.
- 5.3 Issue 02-It was agreed that the raising of the BSC change would resolve the issue of how correct data could be received.
- 5.4 Issue 03-It was agreed that the assurance already exists within BSC for settlement metering solutions and grid code F5 (subsid of CUSC). LS agreed to conduct a final check but believed the risk will fall away as part of the BSC change. Review has been undertaken and settlement metering is the preference but if that is not practical then operational metering can be used.
- 5.5 Issue 04-It was agreed that sub group has reviewed template and feedback to Working Group. The updated template is for an alternative solution and if the alternative solution isn't taken forwards, the template the original proposal will still need to be amended.
- 5.6 Issue 05-It was agreed this issue will be address as part of the BSC change.
- 5.7 Issue 06- It was agreed to wait development of the BSC change and further work undertaken by the MHHS programme before creating a NHH calculation template.

- 5.8 Issue 07-It was agreed to wait for the output of the BSC change to see if expected information is received.
- 5.9 Issue 08- the sub group has met and shared back feedback to Working Group. The sub group agreed to reconvene following the Working Groups inputs to conduct a final review and make amendments. It was agreed that the updated templates would be reviewed by the Working Group over email. It was noted that some testing of the template is required and DW agreed to support this testing.
- 5.10 Issue 09- AG took an action to share with the Working Group the eligible services certification form for review along with the minutes for the meeting so a comparison can be made so all the certificates are aligned.
- 5.11 Issue 10-It was agreed to produce strawman on the legal text further development once the BSC change has been defined and understood.

6. Next Steps

- 6.1 It was agreed that:
- the sub group would meet again to make amendments to the calculation template and test it.
 - LS would raise the BSC change.
 - AG would share the eligible services certificate with the Working Group.
 - updating the legal text was on hold until the BSC change is understood.
 - the next Working Group date was on hold until the BSC change was understood.

7. Next Meeting – NA

- 7.1 The next Working Group to be agreed once the BSC change is understood.

8. Attachments

- Attachment 1 DCP_388_Issues_Log
- Attachment 2 DCP388_altrntv sltn_overview
- Attachment 3 HH MIC Template for Mixed Non-Final Demand Sites
- Attachment 4 Customer certification forms

Appendix 1 – Actions Log

New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
17/01	Review the peak Final Demand, peak Non-Final Demand and peak boundary not being simultaneous.	Kara Burke	New action
17/02	Remove the AE tab from the input sheet within the calculation template. Sub Group to conduct a larger review of the calculation template and DW to supporting in testing.	Kara Burke, Dave Wornell, Edda Dirks, Joe Boyle, Laura Quinn.	New action
17/03	LS to raise BSC change	Lee Stone	New action
17/04	AG to send out the eligible services certification form to the Working Group	Andy Green	New action

Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
10/01	Secretariat to remove Section A from the certification document and put section B into tabular form.	Secretariat	Closed.
10/02	Working Group to consider how to best treat new connection sites.	Working Group	Closed

11/01	The Secretariat to circulate the updated Customer Self-Certification Document to the Working Group post-meeting.	Secretariat	Closed
11/02	The Secretariat to circulate the updated draft legal text to the Working Group post-meeting.	Secretariat	Closed.
12/01	The secretariat to update the legal text and share with the Working Group before the next meeting.	Secretariat	Closed
12/02	The secretariat to update the draft consultation document and share with the Working Group before the next meeting.	Secretariat	Closed
13/01	The secretariat to update the legal text and share with the Working Group before the next meeting.	Secretariat	Closed
13/02	The secretariat to update the draft consultation document and share with the Working Group before the next meeting.	Secretariat	Closed
13/03	Dave Wornell to provide some text explaining the Calculation Template for Mixed Non-Final Demand sites so this can be used in the consultation document	Dave Wornell	Closed
14/01	The secretariat to sense check the use of capitalised words and also make the document consistent in regards to the customer certification form.	Secretariat	Closed
14/02	The secretariat to review the 1 st consultation response document and correct any typos.	Secretariat	Closed
14/03	Lee Stone to provide some wording to provide clarity on the intent and principle of the change, specifically in regards to the differences between the CUSC and DCUSA	Lee Stone	Closed
14/04	The secretariat to check if the customer certification document was issued with the first consultation and if not, remove any text that insinuates this form was issued with the first consultation.	Secretariat	Closed

16/01	The secretariat to create an issues log and share with the Working Group prior to the next meeting.	Secretariat	Closed
16/02	LS to review the BSC modification and feedback whether DCP 388 can still be progressed whilst the BSC change was being understood/developed or if a delay was needed	Secretariat	Closed
16/03	A sub group of ED, KB, LS, JB and LQ to be set up to review how the derivation of Final Demand Capacity at MIC-banded sites could work and also to review the calculation template.	Kara, Burke, Edda Dirks, Lee Stone, Laura Quinn and Joe Boyle	Closed